

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/755,737	Applicant(s) REISSIG, SERGEJ	
	Examiner Ehud Gartenberg	Art Unit 3746	

All Participants:

 (1) Ehud Gartenberg.

 (2) Erik Swanson.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2005
Time: AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached on the attached Examiner's Amendment that clarified certain limitations of claim 1. The amendment DID NOT attempt to define the claimed invention over the prior art and/or overcome any other rejection.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)